

# New Criminal Laws and Citizen Rights: Opportunities and Concerns in India

Mr. Ajit Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Mr. Abhishek Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Ms. Lakshmi Kumari<sup>3</sup>, Mr. Sachin Kashyap<sup>4</sup>, Ms. Kumari Puja<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Amity Institute of Information Technology (AIIT), Amity University Jharkhand, Ranchi

<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>Assistant Professor, School of Computer Applications, Noida Institute of Engineering and Technology (NIET) Greater Noida  
Akuma7@rnc.amity.edu<sup>1</sup>, abhishek.kumar@niet.co.in<sup>2</sup>, lakshmikumari.mca@niet.co.in<sup>3</sup>, sachin.kashyap@niet.co.in<sup>4</sup>  
kumari.puja@niet.co.in<sup>5</sup>

## Abstract

The recent overhaul of India's criminal justice system, marked by the introduction of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, and Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, represents a significant paradigm shift intended to modernize and streamline legal procedures. This comprehensive legislative reform aims to replace colonial-era statutes with laws more attuned to contemporary societal needs and constitutional values. Specifically, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita of 2023 supersedes the Indian Penal Code of 1860, introducing substantial modifications to the country's primary penal law. This new Sanhita, while intended to reduce redundancy and update legal frameworks, has introduced 21 new crimes, extended imprisonment durations for 41 offenses, and increased fines for 82 crimes, alongside implementing minimum punishments for 25 specific infractions. This extensive revision necessitates a critical examination of its congruent and divergent features when compared to the Indian Penal Code of 1860, as well as relevant judicial developments, to assess its potential impact on citizen rights. The transition to the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 from the Indian Penal Code of 1860 signifies a profound transformation in India's legal landscape, necessitating careful scrutiny of its implications for fundamental rights.

keywords: Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Indian Penal Code, criminal justice reform, citizen rights, legal implications

## Introduction

India's recent legislative overhaul of its criminal justice system, marked by the introduction of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, and Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam in 2023, represents a significant departure from colonial-era legal frameworks [1], [2]. This transformative shift aims to modernize and decolonize the nation's legal landscape, addressing long-standing issues of outdated provisions, procedural inefficiencies, and an offender-centric approach that plagued the previous system [3], [4]. The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, for instance, endeavors to streamline investigative and trial processes through digital mandates and time-bound obligations, alongside an amplified focus on victim participation and gender-sensitive protocols [5]. Conversely, critics argue that the new laws, particularly the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023, while aiming for efficiency, may inadvertently curtail fundamental citizen rights by imposing

strict timelines on investigations and court proceedings, potentially compromising adequate defense [6]. Furthermore, the emphasis on speedy trials, while laudable in principle, raises concerns about the potential for wrongful convictions if due process is abridged or if the necessary infrastructure for rapid and fair adjudication is not adequately established [7]. This paper critically examines the opportunities presented by these new laws to enhance justice delivery and citizen protection, alongside the inherent concerns regarding potential infringements on fundamental rights and the practical challenges of their implementation within India's diverse socio-legal context [3]. The transition from the 1898 Code of Criminal Procedure to the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, mirrors similar legislative evolutions in South Asian jurisdictions, signaling a broader regional shift towards modernizing criminal procedure and integrating digital technologies to enhance victim-centered approaches [5]. This comprehensive reform also introduces stringent punishments for transnational gangs, organized crime, and sexual offenses, alongside the death penalty for certain crimes against minors, reflecting a robust commitment to public safety and national integrity [3].

## Literature Review

This section synthesizes existing scholarship on India's evolving legal framework, critically examining the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, and Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam within the broader discourse on legal reform and citizen rights [3]. Several scholarly works highlight the critical need to scrutinize these proposed changes, particularly the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, in comparison to the Indian Penal Code, 1860, to identify both congruent and divergent features [8], [9]. The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, by setting specific investigation timelines and prioritizing forensic examinations, particularly in serious offenses, aims to humanize the criminal justice system [10]. This move is intended to ensure swift justice delivery while upholding the rights of individuals involved in legal proceedings, departing from the previous system's punitive focus towards a more rehabilitative and justice-oriented approach [11]. The legislative changes, encompassing the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, and Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, are poised to replace the Indian Penal Code, the Code of Criminal Procedure, and the Indian Evidence Act, respectively, thereby dismantling remnants of colonial legal structures [2]. However, despite the stated objective of decolonization, some analyses suggest that certain provisions within the new Sanhitas retain elements

reminiscent of colonial laws, raising questions about their true transformative potential [8]. For instance, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita of 2023 replaces the Indian Penal Code of 1860, introducing changes that warrant close examination for their implications on gender-based offenses and broader legal landscapes [12]. This new legislation provides a potential avenue for significant reform within the legal framework governing gender-related crimes in India by addressing long-standing inequalities [12].

### Methodology

The methodological approach for this research involves a comprehensive comparative legal analysis of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, and Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam against their colonial predecessors and international human rights standards [13]. This comparative study analyzes statutory texts, amendment ordinances, and reform committee reports, integrating legal transplant theory and socio-legal concepts of institutional efficacy to assess the new laws' potential impact on the judiciary and forensic medicine practices [5], [14]. Specifically, the research will evaluate how these legislative changes will influence various aspects of the justice system, including forensic medicine practice, by examining their alignment with modern forensic science principles and international best practices [14]. This analysis will consider the integration of forensic procedures and digital case management within the new framework, alongside their implications for upholding constitutional norms and human rights standards, drawing insights from comparative regional reforms in South Asia [5]. This research employs a qualitative, comparative, and doctrinal-socio-legal methodology to analyze the evolution of criminal procedure, drawing lessons from other South Asian countries like Pakistan, Nepal, and Sri Lanka which share a common legal heritage [5].

### Results

The results section presents a detailed analysis of the implications of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, and Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam on criminal justice in India, highlighting their departures from and continuities with previous legal frameworks. This section will also scrutinize the potential for these new laws to address or exacerbate existing systemic issues, such as judicial backlogs and access to justice, by examining their practical implementation challenges and prospective outcomes. Furthermore, this section delves into specific provisions related to gender justice, assessing whether the new Sanhita effectively addresses historical gender inequalities and promotes gender-neutral applications of the law while considering safeguards against misuse [12], [15]. It will also explore the implications of the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam 2023 on digital evidence and its admissibility in judicial proceedings, particularly in light of modern digital forensic science and the need for robust safeguards against potential abuses [16]. The analysis will also evaluate the degree to which these new statutes incorporate scientific-based offenses and strengthen rules for expert testimony, particularly concerning the role of advanced forensic technology in investigations [17]. This includes an assessment of how the mandated forensic examination in specified serious offenses under the new laws aligns with international standards and enhances the integrity of evidence [5], [17]. The discussion will also critically examine the expanded scope of forensic collection and evidentiary admissibility introduced by Sections 349 and 93 in the

Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023, respectively, and assess whether these provisions include robust procedural safeguards to prevent coercive or intrusive investigatory practices [18]. Such an examination is crucial to ensure that while aiming for improved investigative efficacy, the fundamental rights of citizens, including privacy and protection against self-incrimination, are adequately protected [5].

### Discussion

The discussion further contextualizes these findings within the broader discourse of legal reform and decolonization, critically assessing whether the new legal framework truly addresses the shortcomings of its colonial predecessors or merely reconfigures them [19]. This includes an evaluation of whether these reforms genuinely advance human rights and modern legal principles, particularly in areas concerning procedural delays, weak forensic capacity, and the protection of victims' rights [5]. Moreover, the discussion will analyze how these legal changes may impact the overall efficiency and fairness of the Indian criminal justice system, considering both their intended and unintended consequences on different demographics and social strata, with a specific focus on marginalized communities. The integration of digital evidence and advanced forensic techniques under the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam 2023 marks a significant shift towards technology-driven investigations, aiming to streamline legal proceedings and enhance the reliability of evidence in court [16], [17]. However, challenges persist in the practical implementation of these advancements, particularly concerning the inconsistent application of forensic expertise during initial investigation stages and the need for robust statutory criteria to ensure the reliability and relevance of scientific evidence [20], [21]. The new legal framework, while attempting to rectify past inadequacies, introduces complexities that necessitate rigorous examination of its provisions to ensure equitable justice delivery [22]. A critical aspect of this examination involves balancing the state's interest in effective crime detection with the fundamental rights of individuals, particularly the right to privacy in the context of DNA profiling and other biometric data collection [23]. This balance is particularly challenging given the potential for misuse or mishandling of forensic evidence, as highlighted by landmark cases and ongoing concerns about inadequate infrastructure and qualified personnel in India [24], [25]. Therefore, strengthening the legislative framework, adopting global best practices, and promoting ethical norms are crucial to guarantee the ethical and effective use of DNA technology within India's justice system [26].

### Conclusion

This paper has explored the intricate interplay between the newly enacted criminal laws in India—the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, and Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam—and their profound implications for citizen rights, particularly concerning privacy and due process in the context of forensic evidence, including DNA profiling [23]. The analysis underscores the challenges in balancing enhanced investigative capabilities through forensic science with the constitutional safeguards protecting individual liberties, especially given the complexities surrounding the admissibility and ethical use of advanced forensic techniques like DNA testing and narco-analysis [25]. It also highlights the imperative for legislative reforms to strengthen the ethical use of DNA technology within India's justice delivery system, advocating for the adoption of global best practices and the active promotion of ethical norms [24], [26]. The paper further emphasizes the

critical need for a comprehensive regulatory framework that addresses data security, consent protocols, and the potential for misuse of genetic information, thereby ensuring that scientific advancements serve justice without infringing upon fundamental rights [24].

## References

- [1] A. S. Kowshikaa, "India's Criminal Justice Reform: An In-Depth Look at The New Laws," *Journal of Law and Legal Research Development*, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.69662/jllrd.v2i1.35.
- [2] A. Sharma and M. Kumar, "Evolution of Codified Criminal Laws in India: A Voyage from Colonialization to Decolonization," *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, vol. 6, no. 5, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i05.29205.
- [3] C. N. Advocate, "Legal Evolution in India: Transitioning from Colonial Legacies to New Frontiers- An In-depth Analysis of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, and Bharatiya Sakshya Bill in 2023," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4677357.
- [4] Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 . 2025. doi: 10.59646/bns/399.
- [5] J. D. Joya and K. A. Mannan, "Comparative Analysis of the Criminal Procedure Codes in South Asia: Lessons for Reforming the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (CrPC) in Bangladesh," *Research Square (Research Square)*, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-8025578/v1.
- [6] K. Roach, "India," in *Cambridge University Press eBooks*, Cambridge University Press, 2025, p. 479. doi: 10.1017/9781009608282.010.
- [7] J. D. Joya and K. A. Mannan, "Revisiting Colonial Legacies: A Critical Appraisal of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, in the Context of Modern Bangladesh," *Research Square (Research Square)*, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-7560437/v1.
- [8] S. M. Ali and P. Mukhopadhyay, "Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita: Decolonizing Criminal Law or Colonial Continuities?," *International Annals of Criminology*, vol. 62, no. 2, p. 406, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1017/cri.2024.20.
- [9] R. G. Thomas, "BHARATIYA NYAYA SANHITA, 2023: A CRITICAL PERSPECTIVE," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4898463.
- [10] V. P. Singh and P. Kumar, "A brief study on changes introduced by Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita," *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, vol. 7, no. 2, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i02.41605.
- [11] Y. Naik, "The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS): A Critical Examination of India's New Penal Code," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4884622.
- [12] H. Shrivastava and S. Akhter, "A Comparative Study of the Indian Penal Code and the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita's Gender-related Provisions," *Statute Law Review*, vol. 45, no. 2, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.1093/slr/hmae033.
- [13] A. Bajpai, A. Gupta, and A. Indusekhar, "Revisiting Criminal Law Bills: An In-Depth Critical Analysis of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita Bill and Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Bill," *Statute Law Review*, vol. 45, no. 3, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1093/slr/hmae043.
- [14] P. M. Dixit, M. Kumari, U. Aravindan, and H. Chawla, "Exploring amended Indian laws in context of forensic medicine: A comprehensive review," *IP International Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicological Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 66, Jul. 06, 2024. doi: 10.18231/j.ijfmts.2024.013.
- [15] L. krishna NM, "Analysis of Gender based Provision in BNS, need for Gender Neutrality for Criminal Laws," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5174269.
- [16] R. Bharati and S. Nagarale, "Digital Forensic Science and Evidentiary Standards in the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam (BSA) 2023: A Legal Examination of Admissibility," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5382703.
- [17] S. Srishti, "The Impact of Forensic Science on the Legal System in India," *Journal of Forensic Science and Research*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 1, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.29328/journal.jfsr.1001072.
- [18] R. Agarawal, Prof. T. Ahmad, and M. P. Mali, "Forensic Science On Trial: A Critical Analysis Of Dna Profiling, Polygraph Test, Finger Print Test, And Brain Mapping In The Indian Criminal Justice System," *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, vol. 11, p. 972, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.64252/f4k1g511.
- [19] S. Mandal and A. Pagedar, "A brief sketch and some open questions: Legal scholarly publishing on South Asia," *Jindal Global Law Review*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 1, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s41020-024-00230-6.
- [20] J. Shekar, "The Admissibility of Scientific Evidence in Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023 and the Need for an Indian Daubert," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5052611.
- [21] I. Kanishga and B. HR, "Survey on the Underutilization of Forensic Expertise in India: Examining the Dominance of Law Enforcement in Evidence Collection and Investigations," *Journal of Forensic Science and Research*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 67, May 2025, doi: 10.29328/journal.jfsr.1001083.
- [22] D. P. Goswami and G. Kaur, "FORENSIC EVIDENCE IN INDIA: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF LEGAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5226380.
- [23] H. S. Podder, "DNA PROFILING IN CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION IN INDIA: RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL VIS -A-VIS RIGHT TO PRIVACY," *International Journal of Research - GRANTHAALAYAH*, vol. 13, no. 9, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v13.i9.2025.6432.
- [24] A. Srivastava, A. Harshey, T. Das, A. Kumar, M. M. Yadav, and P. Shrivastava, "Impact of DNA evidence in criminal justice system: Indian legislative perspectives," *Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 1, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1186/s41935-022-00309-y.
- [25] A. Nuna and T. Gupta, "The Role of Forensic Evidence, DNA Tests, and Narco-Analysis in the Indian Legal System," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5069346.
- [26] R. N. Sahoo and C. K. Mohapatra, "DNA PROFILING AND DIGITAL DNA EVIDENCE: AN INNOVATIVE FORENSIC APPROACH IN THE INDIAN LEGAL SYSTEM," *Lex localis - Journal of Local Self-Government*, vol. 23, p. 3420, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.52152/801095.